

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,672	10/734,672 12/12/2003		Scott W. Ziegler	005	6319
7	590	08/01/2006	EXAMINER		INER
PETER R. MARTINEZ				HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE	
P.O.BOX 1313	313				
CARLSBAD, CA 92013				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				2777	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
			4,672	ZIEGLER, SCOT	T W.		
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit			
		Robin	A. Hylton	3727			
	The MAILING DATE of this communi	ication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence at	ddress		
Period fo							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINIOR OF THE MINIOR OF THE MINIOR OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MINIOR OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MINIOR OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS AND	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n unication. tutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>09 May 2006</i>	· 5.				
•	•	2b)⊠ This action i					
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance exc	ept for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
· _		lare pending in th	e application.				
•							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-13,15 and 18</u> is/are re	ejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or electio	n requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the	- Evaminer					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		r b) objected t	o by the Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any object						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is red	quired if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	0 1 7					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) to of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)		

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 9, 2006 has been entered.

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informality: at page 5, paragraph 0024, the phrase "threads 22 can for" is grammatical incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "a lip opposite the base".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1,2,5-13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5 and 15 depend from canceled claims 4 and 14, respectively.

Wherein the body of claim 1 positively recites the container structure, the claims are considered to be drawn to the combination of the container and adaptor. Thus, the preambles of the claims are inconsistent with the recited claimed structure. The preambles should be rewritten to reflect the claimed structure includes both the container and the adaptor.

Art Unit: 3727

The structure of the cap is not clearly set forth in the claims. How is the lip opposite the base? What is the structure of the cap?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dickover et al. (US 3,216,865).

Dickover teaches an adaptor comprising a cap 13 having an aperture 31, an annular base 23 extending from the cap, and an insert 21 of softer material than the base of the cap, the insert having threads 24.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 1,2,5-11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mount et al. (3,120,912).

Viewing the embodiments illustrated in figures 6-8, Mount teaches an adaptor comprising a cap having an aperture, an annular base, a recess and a container therefore.

Mount teaches the adaptor and container have a snap-fit engagement.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute screw threads for the snap bead of Mount since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of snap-fit engagement and threaded engagement for their use in the closure art and the selection of any of these known equivalents to secure a closure to a container would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding the claimed taper, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the taper of the magnitude claimed since applicant has not disclosed that

Art Unit: 3727

having a 5° taper solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose, and it appears that any small taper would perform equally well as the 5° taper of applicant's invention.

7. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mount in view of Dickover.

Mount teaches the claimed adaptor and container except for the insert having threads attached to the base of the cap.

Dickover teaches an adaptor comprising a cap having an aperture, an annular base extending from the cap, and an insert of softer material than the base of the cap, the insert having threads.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of an insert having threads to the cap of Mount, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Doing so allows for an adaptor formed of durable plastic material to form a liquid-tight seal with an associated container via pliable threaded material.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/734,672

Art Unit: 3727

development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 11. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 12. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

	spondence for Application Serial No e via fax number 571-273-8300 on the d	
Typed or printed name of pers	son signing this certificate	
Signature		
Date		

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 3727

supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH July 25, 2006

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727